



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                    | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/699,378   | 10/30/2003  | Augusto A. Picozza       | Sunhpro-2-4244      | 3020             |  |
| 7590 04/21/2005                                    |             |                          | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| Lawrence J. Shurupoff                              |             |                          | LOFDAHL, JORDAN M   |                  |  |
| Sunbeam Products, Inc. 2381 Executive Center Drive |             |                          | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| Boca Raton, FL 33431                               |             |                          | 3644                |                  |  |
|  |             | DATE MAIL FD: 04/21/2005 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/699,378      | PICOZZA ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |  |
| Jordan Lofdahl  | 3644           |  |  |

|  | Jordan Lofdahl                       | 3644                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the      | correspondence add        | ress   |  |  |  |  |
| <br>THE REPLY FILED <u>11 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF   |                                      | •                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No  | otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i  | n compliance with 37 (    | CFR 41.31; or                                  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp  | liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The re     | ply must be filed withir  | one of the                                     |  |  |  |  |
| following time periods:  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) M The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no   |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  |                                      |                           | <b>5</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  |                                      | ,,,                       | - <b>,</b> ,                                   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. 🌅 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e   |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b  | pe filed within the time period set  | forth in 37 CFR 41.37(    | a).  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   |                                      |                           | because  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  |                                      | OTE below);               | •  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | ••                                   | raduaina ar aimalifuina   | , the issues for                               |  |  |  |  |
| (c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or  | ter form for appear by materially    | reducing or simplifying   | ine issues for                                 |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally r    | rejected claims           |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |                                      | ojoutou olamijo.          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |                                      | Compliant Amendment       | (PTOL-324)                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  |                                      | oomphant / anonamon       | (  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| the non-allowable claim(s).  | nowable ii subiliited iii a separat  | e, aniery med amendi      | · ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   | will not be entered, or b)           | will be entered and an    | explanation of                                 |  |  |  |  |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro   | vided below or appended.             |                           | •  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-15</u> .   |                                      |                           | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected. <u>I and 4-15.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b   | ut before or on the date of filing a | Notice of Appeal will a   | not be entered                                 |  |  |  |  |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an   |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | ,                                    |                           | ,  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. $lacksquare$ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing   | a Notice of Appeal, but prior to t   | he date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be                           |  |  |  |  |
| entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | n of the status of the claims after  | entry is below or attac   | ched.  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |                                      |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. $lacksquare$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | it does NOT place the application    | in condition for allowa   | ance because:                                  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (DTO/0D/00 DTO 4440) D               | - NI-(-)                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (F10/55/08 of P10-1449) Pape         | 1 140(S)                  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  |                                      | 4. 1.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                      | Olgan-                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                      |                           | <u> </u>                                       |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                      |                           | . ,  |  |  |  |  |

- LINEAN ENGINEER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations in claim 1 and newly added claims 19 and 20 would require further consideration and a new search.

MEDELL AND